AN ELASTO-VISCOPLASTIC MODEL COUPLED TO DAMAGE AND GRAIN GROWTH TO TAKE ACCOUNT OF MATERIAL VARIABILITY
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INTRODUCTION

The final objective of this study is the prediction of the failure of nuclear structures at very high temperatures in accidental conditions taking account of the material variability. Other studies on mini structures [1] have compared the failure behaviour of French and German steels for pressure vessels.

The material used to build French reactor pressure vessels 16MND5 steel, shows at high temperature different damage mechanisms according to its origin: transgranular by growth and coalescence of cavities or intergranular. We can see on figure 1 that this phenomenon can lead to very different failure times during creep tests.

![Figure 1. Failure time for 2 origins of 16MND5 steel with the same experimental conditions](image)

A statistical analysis of 61 creep tests [2], for 5 temperature levels (900 to 1300°C) and 2 origins of 16MND5, showed that the damage threshold and damage evolution measured through the value of strain during tertiary creep (see figure 2), have a random character according to material origin and thermal history. The cause of these different damage evolutions has been sought by metallurgical analyses. The metallurgical parameter that explains this random character has been identified and introduced in an elasto-viscoplastic constitutive law with isotropic hardening coupled to two damage evolution laws.

METALLURGICAL STUDY

Different heat treatments have been performed on 16MND5 of two different origins in order to reproduce the thermal history applied to creep specimens before the mechanical load is applied.

![Figure 3. Austenitic grain of steel A after 10h at 1000°C (≈ 100-150 μm in diameter)](image)

![Figure 4. Austenitic grain of steel A after 10h at 1000°C (≈ 200-300 μm in diameter)](image)
We have noticed that for long enough austenitisation the austenitic grain size is different from one steel to another. For an austenitisation of 10 hours at 1000°C (see figures 3 and 4), we observed after chemical attack that the austenitic grain size of steel A is about twice smaller than the austenitic grain size for steel B. We observed the same result for austenitisations of 0.5 hours and 5 hours at 1100°C. For austenitisation at lower temperatures or for shorter times, the austenitic grain is difficult to observe. However, for steel A, we have been able to observe the same austenitic grain size than M. Martinez [3].

To conclude, it appears that the austenitic grain size is the important metallurgical parameter to differentiate damage mechanisms in 16MND5 of different origins, intergranular damage becoming predominant for smaller austenitic grain sizes.

**CONSTITUTIVE LAW**

To describe these phenomena, we have chosen a macroscopic elasto-viscoplastic constitutive law with isotropic hardening coupled to two damage evolution laws [4] [5]. The limited complexity of such a macroscopic law is compatible with the cost of the numerical simulations of the ruin of industrial components. However, we have taken account of the microstructural parameter viz. the austenitic grain size $d_a$ that controls the evolution of intergranular damage $D_c$. We supposed that the evolution of transgranular damage $D_g$ is isotropic and not affected by this microstructural parameter. Conversely, we have considered that intergranular damage is anisotropic and dependent on austenitic grain size. Moreover, we have considered only one isotropic hardening $R$ since we want to simulate only the failure for monotonic loadings. The partition of strain is as follows:

$$\varepsilon = \varepsilon^{elas} + \varepsilon^{vis} + \varepsilon^{th}$$

(1)

where $\varepsilon^{elas}$ stand for elastic strains, $\varepsilon^{vis}$ for viscoplastic strains and $\varepsilon^{th}$ for strains due to thermal dilatation. We introduce both damages with damaged elasticity tensor as follows:

$$\varepsilon^{elas} = \tilde{E}^{-1} (D_c, D_d) \cdot \sigma \quad \text{with} \quad \tilde{E}^{-1} (D_c, D_d) = \frac{1}{E(1-D_d)} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{1-D_c} & -\nu & -\nu \\ -\nu & 1 & -\nu \\ -\nu & -\nu & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{\sigma_1, \sigma_{11}, \sigma_{111}}$$

(2)

where $\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_{11} \geq \sigma_{111}$ stand for principal stresses, $E$ for Young’s modulus and $\nu$ for Poisson’s ratio. The threshold of viscoplasticity is as follows:

$$f_{vp} = \bar{\sigma}_eq - R - \sigma_Y (d_a) - K \dot{\rho}^b \quad \text{with} \quad R = Q (d_a) (1 - e^{-b\dot{\rho}})$$

(3)

where $\sigma_Y, Q, b$ are material parameters that are dependent on temperature. The equivalent effective stress $\bar{\sigma}_{eq}$ is obtained from the shear elastic energy. The law of normality and the generalized Norton’s law are as follows:

$$\varepsilon^{vis} = \frac{3}{2} \rho \frac{\partial \bar{\sigma}_{eq}}{\partial \sigma} \quad \text{with} \quad \rho = \left( \frac{\bar{\sigma}_{eq} - R - \sigma_Y}{K} \right)^n$$

(4)

where $K, n$ are temperature dependent parameters material. The evolution of transgranular damage is given by Lemaitre’s ductile law [4] as follows:

$$\dot{D}_g = \left( \frac{Y}{S} \right)^s \dot{\rho}$$

(5)

where $S, s$ are temperature dependent parameters material. The evolution of intergranular damage is defined by Kachanov’s law as follows:

$$\dot{D}_c = \left( \frac{\sigma_1}{A(d_a)(1-D_c)} \right)^r$$

(6)

where $A, r$ are temperature dependent parameters material. These laws have been implemented in French finite element code CAST3M [6]. Experiments are under way to identify this law and the evolution of both damage mechanisms.
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